arXiv:0801.0621v1 [math.RA] 4 Jan 2008

Towards a classification of the tridiagonal pairs

Kazumasa Nomura and Paul Terwilliger[1]

Abstract

Let $\mathbb{K}$ denote a field and let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension. Let $\mathrm{End}(V)$ denote the $\mathbb{K}$-algebra consisting of all $\mathbb{K}$-linear transformations from V to V. We consider a pair $A, A^* \in \mathrm{End}(V)$ that satisfy (i)–(iv) below:

(i) Each of A, A^* is diagonalizable.

(ii) There exists an ordering $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$ of the eigenspaces of A such that $A^*V_i \subseteq V_{i-1} + V_i + V_{i+1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$, where $V_{-1} = 0$ and $V_{d+1} = 0$.

(iii) There exists an ordering $\{V_i^*\}_{i=0}^{\delta}$ of the eigenspaces of A^* such that $AV_i^* \subseteq V_{i-1}^* + V_i^* + V_{i+1}^*$ for $0 \leq i \leq \delta$, where $V_{-1}^* = 0$ and $V_{\delta+1}^* = 0$.

(iv) There is no subspace W of V such that $AW \subseteq W$, $A^*W \subseteq W$, $W \neq 0$, $W \neq V$.

We call such a pair a *tridiagonal pair* on V. Let E_0^* denote the element of $\mathrm{End}(V)$ such that $(E_0^* - I)V_0^* = 0$ and $E_0^*V_i^* = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Let $\mathcal{D}$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}^*$) denote the $\mathbb{K}$-subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}(V)$ generated by A (resp. A^*). In this paper we prove that the span of $E_0^*\mathcal{D}\mathcal{D}^*\mathcal{D}E_0^*$ equals the span of $E_0^*\mathcal{D}E_0^*\mathcal{D}E_0^*$, and that the elements of $E_0^*\mathcal{D}E_0^*$ mutually commute. We relate these results to some conjectures of Tatsuro Ito and the second author that are expected to play a role in the classification of tridiagonal pairs.

1 Tridiagonal pairs

Throughout the paper $\mathbb{K}$ denotes a field and V denotes a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension.

We begin by recalling the notion of a tridiagonal pair. We will use the following terms. Let $\mathrm{End}(V)$ denote the $\mathbb{K}$-algebra consisting of all $\mathbb{K}$-linear transformations from V to V. For $A \in \mathrm{End}(V)$ and for a subspace $W \subseteq V$, we call W an *eigenspace* of A whenever $W \neq 0$ and there exists $\theta \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $W = \{v \in V \mid Av = \theta v\}$; in this case θ is the *eigenvalue* of A associated with W. We say A is *diagonalizable* whenever V is spanned by the eigenspaces of A.

---

[1] This author gratefully acknowledges support from the FY2007 JSPS Invitation Fellowship Program for Reseach in Japan (Long-Term), grant L-07512.

Definition 1.1 [19] By a *tridiagonal pair* on V we mean an ordered pair $A, A^* \in \mathrm{End}(V)$ that satisfy (i)–(iv) below:

(i) Each of A, A^* is diagonalizable.

(ii) There exists an ordering $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$ of the eigenspaces of A such that

$$A^* V_i \subseteq V_{i-1} + V_i + V_{i+1} \qquad (0 \le i \le d), \tag{1}$$

where $V_{-1} = 0$ and $V_{d+1} = 0$.

(iii) There exists an ordering $\{V_i^*\}_{i=0}^\delta$ of the eigenspaces of A^* such that

$$A V_i^* \subseteq V_{i-1}^* + V_i^* + V_{i+1}^* \qquad (0 \le i \le \delta), \tag{2}$$

where $V_{-1}^* = 0$ and $V_{\delta+1}^* = 0$.

(iv) There is no subspace W of V such that $AW \subseteq W$, $A^*W \subseteq W$, $W \neq 0$, $W \neq V$.

Note 1.2 It is a common notational convention to use A^* to represent the conjugate-transpose of A. We are not using this convention. In a tridiagonal pair A, A^* the linear transformations A and A^* are arbitrary subject to (i)–(iv) above.

Let A, A^* denote a tridiagonal pair on V, as in Definition 1.1. By [19, Lemma 4.5] the integers d and δ from (ii), (iii) are equal; we call this common value the *diameter* of the pair.

We refer the reader to [1–3, 5, 19–21, 27, 35–37, 45, 47, 67] for background on tridiagonal pairs. See [4, 6–11, 14, 15, 17, 18, 22–26, 29–33, 48–50, 52–54, 56, 65, 70] for related topics. The following special case has received a lot of attention. A tridiagonal pair A, A^* is called a *Leonard pair* whenever the eigenspaces for A (resp. A^*) all have dimension 1. See [12, 13, 16, 34, 38–44, 46, 55, 57–64, 66, 68, 69] for information about Leonard pairs.

2 Tridiagonal systems

When working with a tridiagonal pair, it is often convenient to consider a closely related object called a tridiagonal system. To define a tridiagonal system, we recall a few concepts from linear algebra. Let A denote a diagonalizable element of $\mathrm{End}(V)$. Let $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$ denote an ordering of the eigenspaces of A and let $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ denote the corresponding ordering of the eigenvalues of A. For $0 \le i \le d$ let $E_i : V \to V$ denote the linear transformation such that $(E_i - I)V_i = 0$ and $E_i V_j = 0$ for $j \neq i$ $(0 \le j \le d)$. Here I denotes the identity of $\mathrm{End}(V)$. We call E_i the *primitive idempotent* of A corresponding to V_i (or θ_i). Observe that (i) $\sum_{i=0}^d E_i = I$; (ii) $E_i E_j = \delta_{i,j} E_i$ $(0 \le i, j \le d)$; (iii) $V_i = E_i V$ $(0 \le i \le d)$; (iv) $A = \sum_{i=0}^d \theta_i E_i$. Moreover

$$E_i = \prod_{\substack{0 \le j \le d \\ j \neq i}} \frac{A - \theta_j I}{\theta_i - \theta_j}. \tag{3}$$

We note that each of $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$, $\{A^i\}_{i=0}^d$ is a basis for the $\mathbb{K}$-subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}(V)$ generated by A.

Now let A, A^* denote a tridiagonal pair on V. An ordering of the eigenspaces of A (resp. A^*) is said to be *standard* whenever it satisfies (1) (resp. (2)). We comment on the uniqueness of the standard ordering. Let $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$ denote a standard ordering of the eigenspaces of A. Then the ordering $\{V_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d$ is standard and no other ordering is standard. A similar result holds for the eigenspaces of A^*. An ordering of the primitive idempotents of A (resp. A^*) is said to be *standard* whenever the corresponding ordering of the eigenspaces of A (resp. A^*) is standard.

Definition 2.1 By a *tridiagonal system* on V we mean a sequence

$$\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$$

that satisfies (i)–(iii) below.

(i) A, A^* is a tridiagonal pair on V.

(ii) $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is a standard ordering of the primitive idempotents of A.

(iii) $\{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ is a standard ordering of the primitive idempotents of A^*.

We will use the following notation.

Notation 2.2 let $\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$ denote a tridiagonal system on V. We denote by $\mathcal{D}$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}^*$) the $\mathbb{K}$-subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}(V)$ generated by A (resp. A^*). For $0 \leq i \leq d$ let θ_i (resp. θ_i^*) denote the eigenvalue of A (resp. A^*) associated with the eigenspace E_iV (resp. E_i^*V). We observe $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ (resp. $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$) are mutually distinct and contained in $\mathbb{K}$.

Referring to Notation 2.2, it has been conjectured that E_0^*V has dimension 1, provided that $\mathbb{K}$ is algebraically closed [20]. A more recent and stronger conjecture is that $E_0^*\mathcal{T}E_0^*$ is commutative, where $\mathcal{T}$ is the $\mathbb{K}$-subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}(V)$ generated by $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}^*$ [47]. There is more detailed version of this conjecture which reads as follows:

Conjecture 2.3 [47, Conjecture 12.2] *With reference to Notation* 2.2 *the following* (i), (ii) *hold.*

(i) *$E_0^*\mathcal{T}E_0^*$ is generated by $E_0^*\mathcal{D}E_0^*$.*

(ii) *The elements of $E_0^*\mathcal{D}E_0^*$ mutually commute.*

The following special case of Conjecture 2.3 has been proven. Referring to Notation 2.2, there is a well known parameter q associated with A, A^* that is used to describe the eigenvalues [19, 56]. In [28], Conjecture 2.3 is proven assuming q is not a root of unity and $\mathbb{K}$ is algebraically closed. In this paper we use a different approach to prove part (ii) of Conjecture 2.3 without any extra assumptions. We also obtain a result which sheds some light on why part (i) of the conjecture should be true without any extra assumptions. We now state our main theorem.

Theorem 2.4 *With reference to Notation* 2.2 *the following* (i), (ii) *hold.*

(i) *The span of* $E_0^*\mathcal{D}\mathcal{D}^*\mathcal{D}E_0^*$ *is equal to the span of* $E_0^*\mathcal{D}E_0^*\mathcal{D}E_0^*$.

(ii) *The elements of* $E_0^*\mathcal{D}E_0^*$ *mutually commute.*

Our proof of Theorem 2.4 appears in Section 11. In Sections 3–10 we obtain some results that will be used in the proof. Our point of departure is the following observation.

Lemma 2.5 *With reference to Notation* 2.2 *the following* (i), (ii) *hold for* $0 \leq i, j, k \leq d$.

(i) $E_i^* A^k E_j^* = 0$ *if* $k < |i-j|$.

(ii) $E_i A^{*k} E_j = 0$ *if* $k < |i-j|$.

Proof. Routinely obtained using lines (1), (2) and Definition 2.1. $\square$

3 The D_4 action

Let $\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$ denote a tridigonal system on V. Then each of the following is a tridiagonal system on V:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi^* &:= (A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d), \\
\Phi^{\downarrow} &:= (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_{d-i}^*\}_{i=0}^d), \\
\Phi^{\Downarrow} &:= (A; \{E_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d).
\end{aligned}$$

Viewing $*$, $\downarrow$, $\Downarrow$ as permutations on the set of all tridiagonal systems,

$$*^2 = \downarrow^2 = \Downarrow^2 = 1, \tag{4}$$

$$\Downarrow * = * \downarrow, \quad \downarrow * = * \Downarrow, \quad \downarrow\Downarrow = \Downarrow\downarrow. \tag{5}$$

The group generated by symbols $*$, $\downarrow$, $\Downarrow$ subject to the relations (4), (5) is the dihedral group D_4. We recall that D_4 is the group of symmetries of a square, and has 8 elements. Apparently $*$, $\downarrow$, $\Downarrow$ induce an action of D_4 on the set of all tridiagonal systems. Two tridiagonal systems will be called *relatives* whenever they are in the same orbit of this D_4 action. The relatives of Φ are as follows:

name	relative
Φ	$(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$
$\Phi^{\downarrow}$	$(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_{d-i}^*\}_{i=0}^d)$
$\Phi^{\Downarrow}$	$(A; \{E_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$
$\Phi^{\downarrow\Downarrow}$	$(A; \{E_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_{d-i}^*\}_{i=0}^d)$
Φ^*	$(A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d)$
$\Phi^{\downarrow *}$	$(A^*; \{E_{d-i}^*\}_{i=0}^d; A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d)$
$\Phi^{\Downarrow *}$	$(A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; A; \{E_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d)$
$\Phi^{\downarrow\Downarrow *}$	$(A^*; \{E_{d-i}^*\}_{i=0}^d; A; \{E_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d)$

4 Some polynomials

Let λ denote an indeterminate and let $\mathbb{K}[\lambda]$ denote the $\mathbb{K}$-algebra consisting of all polynomials in λ that have coefficients in $\mathbb{K}$.

Definition 4.1 With reference to Notation 2.2, for $0 \leq i \leq d$ we define the following polynomials in $\mathbb{K}[\lambda]$:

$$\tau_i = (\lambda - \theta_0)(\lambda - \theta_1) \cdots (\lambda - \theta_{i-1}), \tag{6}$$

$$\eta_i = (\lambda - \theta_d)(\lambda - \theta_{d-1}) \cdots (\lambda - \theta_{d-i+1}), \tag{7}$$

$$\tau_i^* = (\lambda - \theta_0^*)(\lambda - \theta_1^*) \cdots (\lambda - \theta_{i-1}^*), \tag{8}$$

$$\eta_i^* = (\lambda - \theta_d^*)(\lambda - \theta_{d-1}^*) \cdots (\lambda - \theta_{d-i+1}^*). \tag{9}$$

Note that each of $\tau_i, \eta_i, \tau_i^*, \eta_i^*$ is monic with degree i.

The following lemmas show the significance of these polynomials. We will focus on τ_i, η_i; of course similar results hold for τ_i^*, η_i^*.

Lemma 4.2 *With reference to Notation* 2.2, *each of* $\{\tau_i(A)\}_{i=0}^d$, $\{\eta_i(A)\}_{i=0}^d$ *form a basis for* $\mathcal{D}$.

Proof. The sequence $\{A^i\}_{i=0}^d$ is a basis for $\mathcal{D}$ and each of τ_i, η_i has degree i for $0 \leq i \leq d$. $\square$

Lemma 4.3 *With reference to Notation* 2.2, *for* $0 \leq i \leq d$ *we have*

$$\tau_i(A) = \sum_{j=i}^{d} \tau_i(\theta_j) E_j, \qquad E_i = \sum_{j=i}^{d} \frac{\eta_{d-j}(\theta_i)\tau_j(A)}{\tau_i(\theta_i)\eta_{d-i}(\theta_i)}, \tag{10}$$

$$\eta_i(A) = \sum_{j=0}^{d-i} \eta_i(\theta_j) E_j, \qquad E_i = \sum_{j=d-i}^{d} \frac{\tau_{d-j}(\theta_i)\eta_j(A)}{\tau_i(\theta_i)\eta_{d-i}(\theta_i)}. \tag{11}$$

Proof. To get the equation on the left in (10), observe that

$$\tau_i(A) = \sum_{j=0}^{d} \tau_i(A) E_j = \sum_{j=0}^{d} \tau_i(\theta_j) E_j$$

and $\tau_i(\theta_j) = 0$ for $0 \leq j \leq i-1$. Concerning the equation on the right in (10), first observe by (3) that

$$E_i = \frac{\tau_i(A)\eta_{d-i}(A)}{\tau_i(\theta_i)\eta_{d-i}(\theta_i)}. \tag{12}$$

By [41, Lemma 5.4] or a routine induction on d we find

$$\eta_{d-i} = \sum_{j=i}^{d} \eta_{d-j}(\theta_i) \tau_i^{-1} \tau_j.$$

Therefore

$$\tau_i \eta_{d-i} = \sum_{j=i}^{d} \eta_{d-j}(\theta_i)\tau_j. \tag{13}$$

Evaluating the right-hand side of (12) using (13) we obtain the equation on the right in (10). We have now obtained (10). Applying (10) to $\Phi^{\Downarrow}$ we obtain (11). $\square$

Lemma 4.4 *With reference to Notation* 2.2 *the following* (i)–(iii) *hold for* $0 \leq i \leq d$.

(i) $\mathrm{Span}\{A^h \,|\, 0 \leq h \leq i\} = \mathrm{Span}\{\tau_h(A) \,|\, 0 \leq h \leq i\}$.

(ii) $\mathrm{Span}\{E_h \,|\, i \leq h \leq d\} = \mathrm{Span}\{\tau_h(A) \,|\, i \leq h \leq d\}$.

(iii) $\tau_i(A)$ *is a basis for* $\mathrm{Span}\{A^h \,|\, 0 \leq h \leq i\} \cap \mathrm{Span}\{E_h \,|\, i \leq h \leq d\}$.

Proof. (i): Recall that τ_h has degree h for $0 \leq h \leq d$.
(ii): Follows from Lemma 4.3.
(iii): Immediate from (i), (ii) above. $\square$

Applying Lemma 4.4 to $\Phi^{\Downarrow}$ we obtain the following result.

Lemma 4.5 *With reference to Notation* 2.2 *the following* (i)–(iii) *hold for* $0 \leq i \leq d$.

(i) $\mathrm{Span}\{A^h \,|\, 0 \leq h \leq i\} = \mathrm{Span}\{\eta_h(A) \,|\, 0 \leq h \leq i\}$.

(ii) $\mathrm{Span}\{E_h \,|\, 0 \leq h \leq d-i\} = \mathrm{Span}\{\eta_h(A) \,|\, i \leq h \leq d\}$.

(iii) $\eta_i(A)$ *is a basis for* $\mathrm{Span}\{A^h \,|\, 0 \leq h \leq i\} \cap \mathrm{Span}\{E_h \,|\, 0 \leq h \leq d-i\}$.

5 Some bases for $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}^*$

In this section we give some bases for $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}^*$ that will be useful later in the paper. We will state our results for $\mathcal{D}$; of course similar results hold for $\mathcal{D}^*$.

Lemma 5.1 *With reference to Notation* 2.2 *consider the following basis for* $\mathcal{D}$:

$$E_0, E_1, \ldots, E_d. \tag{14}$$

For $0 \leq n \leq d$, *if we replace any* $(n+1)$*-subset of* (14) *by* $I, A, A^2, \ldots, A^n$ *then the result is still a basis for* $\mathcal{D}$.

Proof. Let Δ denote a $(n+1)$-subset of $\{0, 1, \ldots, d\}$ and let $\overline{\Delta}$ denote the complement of Δ in $\{0, 1, \ldots, d\}$. We show

$$\{A^i\}_{i=0}^{n} \cup \{E_i\}_{i \in \overline{\Delta}} \tag{15}$$

is a basis for $\mathcal{D}$. The number of elements in (15) is $d+1$ and this equals the dimension of $\mathcal{D}$. Therefore it suffices to show the elements (15) span $\mathcal{D}$. Let S denote the subspace of

$\mathcal{D}$ spanned by (15). To show $\mathcal{D} = S$ we show $E_i \in S$ for $i \in \Delta$. For $0 \leq j \leq n$ we have $A^j = \sum_{i=0}^{d} \theta_i^j E_i$. In these equations we rearrange the terms to find

$$\sum_{i \in \Delta} \theta_i^j E_i \in S \qquad (0 \leq j \leq n). \tag{16}$$

In the linear system (16) the coefficient matrix is Vandermonde and hence nonsingular. Therefore $E_i \in S$ for $i \in \Delta$. Now $S = \mathcal{D}$ and the result follows. $\square$

6 The space R

Definition 6.1 With reference to Notation 2.2 we consider the tensor product $\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}^* \otimes \mathcal{D}$ where $\otimes = \otimes_{\mathbb{K}}$. We define a $\mathbb{K}$-linear transformation

$$\pi : \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}^* \otimes \mathcal{D} & \to & \operatorname{End}(V) \\ X \otimes Y \otimes Z & \mapsto & E_0^* XYZE_0^* \end{array}$$

We note that the image of π is the span of $E_0^* \mathcal{D}\mathcal{D}^*\mathcal{D} E_0^*$.

Definition 6.2 With reference to Notation 2.2 let R denote the sum of the following three subspaces of $\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}^* \otimes \mathcal{D}$:

$$\operatorname{Span}\{A^i \otimes E_j^* \mid 0 \leq i < j \leq d\} \otimes \mathcal{D}, \tag{17}$$

$$\mathcal{D} \otimes \operatorname{Span}\{E_j^* \otimes A^i \mid 0 \leq i < j \leq d\}, \tag{18}$$

$$\operatorname{Span}\{E_i \otimes A^{*t} \otimes E_j \mid 0 \leq i, j, t \leq d,\ t < |i - j|\}. \tag{19}$$

Lemma 6.3 *With reference to Definitions* 6.1 *and* 6.2 *the space* R *is contained in the kernel of* π.

Proof. Routinely obtained using Lemma 2.5. $\square$

With reference to Notation 2.2 and Lemma 6.3, we desire to understand the kernel of π. To gain this understanding we systematically investigate R. We proceed as follows.

Lemma 6.4 *With reference to Notation* 2.2*,*

$$\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}^* \otimes \mathcal{D} = \sum_{t=0}^{d} \mathcal{D} \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes \mathcal{D} \qquad \text{(direct sum)}.$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 4.2(i) to Φ^* we find that $\{\tau_t^*(A^*)\}_{t=0}^{d}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{D}^*$. The result follows. $\square$

Definition 6.5 With reference to Notation 2.2 and Definition 6.2, for $0 \leq t \leq d$ let R_t denote the intersection of R with $\mathcal{D} \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes \mathcal{D}$.

With reference to Notation 2.2 and Definition 6.2, our next goal is to show $R = \sum_{t=0}^{d} R_t$ (direct sum). The following lemma will be useful.

Lemma 6.6 *With reference to Notation* 2.2 *the following* (i)–(iii) *hold.*

(i) *The space* (17) *is the direct sum over* $t = 0, 1, \ldots, d$ *of the following subspaces:*

$$\mathrm{Span}\{A^i \,|\, 0 \leq i < t\} \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes \mathcal{D}. \tag{20}$$

(ii) *The space* (18) *is the direct sum over* $t = 0, 1, \ldots, d$ *of the following subspaces:*

$$\mathcal{D} \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes \mathrm{Span}\{A^i \,|\, 0 \leq i < t\}. \tag{21}$$

(iii) *The space* (19) *is the direct sum over* $t = 0, 1, \ldots, d$ *of the following subspaces:*

$$\mathrm{Span}\{E_i \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes E_j \,|\, 0 \leq i, j \leq d,\ t < |i - j|\}. \tag{22}$$

Proof. (i): Applying Lemma 4.4(ii) to Φ^* we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Span}\{A^i \otimes E_t^* \,|\, 0 \leq i < t \leq d\} \\
&\qquad = \sum_{i=0}^{d} A^i \otimes \mathrm{Span}\{E_t^* \,|\, i < t \leq d\} \\
&\qquad = \sum_{i=0}^{d} A^i \otimes \mathrm{Span}\{\tau_t^*(A^*) \,|\, i < t \leq d\} \\
&\qquad = \sum_{t=0}^{d} \mathrm{Span}\{A^i \,|\, 0 \leq i < t\} \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*).
\end{aligned}$$

In the above lines we tensor each term on the right by $\mathcal{D}$ to find that the space (17) is the sum over $t = 0, 1, ..., d$ of the spaces (20). The sum is direct by Lemma 6.4.

(ii): Similar to the proof of (i) above.

(iii): Applying Lemma 4.4(i) to Φ^* we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Span}\{E_i \otimes A^{*t} \otimes E_j \,|\, 0 \leq i, j, t \leq d,\ t < |i - j|\} \\
&\qquad = \sum_{i=0}^{d} \sum_{j=0}^{d} E_i \otimes \mathrm{Span}\{A^{*t} \,|\, 0 \leq t < |i - j|\} \otimes E_j \\
&\qquad = \sum_{i=0}^{d} \sum_{j=0}^{d} E_i \otimes \mathrm{Span}\{\tau_t^*(A^*) \,|\, 0 \leq t < |i - j|\} \otimes E_j \\
&\qquad = \sum_{t=0}^{d} \mathrm{Span}\{E_i \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes E_j \,|\, 0 \leq i, j \leq d,\ t < |i - j|\}.
\end{aligned}$$

In other words the space (19) is the sum over $t = 0, 1, \ldots, d$ of the spaces (22). This sum is direct by Lemma 6.4. $\square$

Theorem 6.7 *With reference to Notation* 2.2 *and Definition* 6.5 *the following* (i), (ii) *hold.*

(i) *For* $0 \leq t \leq d$ *the subspace* R_t *is the sum of the spaces* (20)–(22).

(ii) $R = \sum_{t=0}^{d} R_t$ *(direct sum).*

Proof. For $0 \leq t \leq d$ let R'_t denote the sum of the spaces (20), (21), (22). Note that R'_t is contained in $\mathcal{D} \otimes \tau^*_t(A^*) \otimes \mathcal{D}$, and that $R = \sum_{t=0}^{d} R'_t$ by Lemma 6.6. By these comments and Lemma 6.4 we find $R'_t = R_t$ for $0 \leq t \leq d$. The result follows. □

7 A basis for R_t and $\mathcal{D} \otimes \tau^*_t(A^*) \otimes \mathcal{D}$

With reference to Notation 2.2 and Definition 6.5, for $0 \leq t \leq d$ we display a basis for R_t and extend this to a basis for $\mathcal{D} \otimes \tau^*_t(A^*) \otimes \mathcal{D}$.

Theorem 7.1 *With reference to Notation* 2.2 *and Definition* 6.5*, for* $0 \leq t \leq d$ *the following sets of vectors together form a basis for* $\mathcal{D} \otimes \tau^*_t(A^*) \otimes \mathcal{D}$*:*

$$\{A^i \otimes \tau^*_t(A^*) \otimes A^j \,|\, 0 \leq i \leq d,\ 0 \leq j < t\}, \tag{23}$$

$$\{A^i \otimes \tau^*_t(A^*) \otimes A^j \,|\, 0 \leq i < t,\ t \leq j \leq d\}, \tag{24}$$

$$\{E_i \otimes \tau^*_t(A^*) \otimes E_j \,|\, 0 \leq i, j \leq d,\ t < |i - j|\}, \tag{25}$$

$$\{E_i \otimes \tau^*_t(A^*) \otimes E_i \,|\, 0 \leq i \leq d - t\}. \tag{26}$$

Moreover the sets (23)–(25) *together form a basis for* R_t.

Proof. The span of (23)–(25) equals the span of (20)–(22) and this equals R_t by Theorem 6.7(i). The dimension of $\mathcal{D} \otimes \tau^*_t(A^*) \otimes \mathcal{D}$ is $(d+1)^2$. The cardinality of the sets (23)–(26) is $t(d+1)$, $t(d-t+1)$, $(d-t)(d-t+1)$, $d-t+1$ respectively, and the sum of these numbers is $(d+1)^2$. Therefore the number of vectors in (23)–(26) is equal to the dimension of $\mathcal{D} \otimes \tau^*_t(A^*) \otimes \mathcal{D}$. Consequently to finish the proof it suffices to show that (23)–(26) together span $\mathcal{D} \otimes \tau^*_t(A^*) \otimes \mathcal{D}$. Let S denote the span of (23)–(26). We first claim that for $0 \leq i \leq d - t$, both

$$E_i \otimes \tau^*_t(A^*) \otimes \mathcal{D} \subseteq S, \qquad \mathcal{D} \otimes \tau^*_t(A^*) \otimes E_i \subseteq S.$$

To prove the claim, by induction on i we may assume

$$E_j \otimes \tau^*_t(A^*) \otimes \mathcal{D} \subseteq S, \qquad \mathcal{D} \otimes \tau^*_t(A^*) \otimes E_j \subseteq S \qquad (0 \leq j < i). \tag{27}$$

By Lemma 5.1 the following vectors together form a basis for $\mathcal{D}$:

$$E_0, E_1, \ldots, E_{i-1}; \qquad E_i; \qquad I, A, A^2, \ldots, A^{t-1}; \qquad E_{i+t+1}, E_{i+t+2}, \ldots, E_d.$$

Therefore $E_i \otimes \tau^*_t(A^*) \otimes \mathcal{D}$ is the sum of the following spaces:

$$E_i \otimes \tau^*_t(A^*) \otimes \mathrm{Span}\{E_0, E_1, \ldots, E_{i-1}\}, \tag{28}$$

$$E_i \otimes \tau^*_t(A^*) \otimes \mathrm{Span}\{E_i\}, \tag{29}$$

$$E_i \otimes \tau^*_t(A^*) \otimes \mathrm{Span}\{I, A, A^2, \ldots, A^{t-1}\}, \tag{30}$$

$$E_i \otimes \tau^*_t(A^*) \otimes \mathrm{Span}\{E_{i+t+1}, E_{i+t+2}, \ldots, E_d\}. \tag{31}$$

The space (28) is contained in S by (27), the space (29) is contained in S by (26), the space (30) is contained in S by (23), and the space (31) is contained in S by (25). Therefore $E_i \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes \mathcal{D}$ is contained in S. Similarly one shows that $\mathcal{D} \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes E_i$ is contained in S and the claim is proved. Next we claim that $E_i \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes \mathcal{D}$ is contained in S for $d - t < i \leq d$. By Lemma 5.1 the following vectors together form a basis for $\mathcal{D}$:

$$E_0, E_1, \ldots, E_{d-t}; \qquad I, A, A^2, \ldots, A^{t-1}.$$

Therefore $E_i \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes \mathcal{D}$ is the sum of the following spaces:

$$E_i \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes \mathrm{Span}\{E_0, E_1, \ldots, E_{d-t}\}, \tag{32}$$

$$E_i \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes \mathrm{Span}\{I, A, A^2, \ldots, A^{t-1}\}. \tag{33}$$

The space (32) is contained in S by the first claim, and the space (33) is contained in S by (23). Therefore $E_i \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes \mathcal{D}$ is contained in S and the second claim is proved. By the two claims and since $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is a basis for $\mathcal{D}$, we find $\mathcal{D} \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes \mathcal{D}$ is contained in S. In other words (23)–(26) together span $\mathcal{D} \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes \mathcal{D}$ as desired. The result follows. $\square$

Corollary 7.2 *With reference to Notation* 2.2 *and Definition* 6.5 *the following* (i)–(iv) *hold.*

(i) *For* $0 \leq t \leq d$ *the dimension of* R_t *is* $d^2 + d + t$.

(ii) *For* $0 \leq t \leq d$ *the codimension of* R_t *in* $\mathcal{D} \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes \mathcal{D}$ *is* $d - t + 1$.

(iii) *The dimension of* R *is* $d(d+1)(2d+3)/2$.

(iv) *The codimension of* R *in* $\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}^* \otimes \mathcal{D}$ *is* $(d+1)(d+2)/2$.

Proof. (i), (ii): The dimension of $\mathcal{D} \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes \mathcal{D}$ is $(d+1)^2$. By (26) the codimension of R_t in $\mathcal{D} \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes \mathcal{D}$ is $d - t + 1$. The result follows.

(iii): Sum the dimension in (i) over $t = 0, 1, \ldots, d$.

(iv): Sum the codimension in (ii) over $t = 0, 1, \ldots, d$. $\square$

8 The map ‡

Definition 8.1 With reference to Notation 2.2 we define a $\mathbb{K}$-linear transformation

$$\ddagger : \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}^* \otimes \mathcal{D} & \to & \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}^* \otimes \mathcal{D} \\ X \otimes Y \otimes Z & \mapsto & Z \otimes Y \otimes X \end{array}$$

We call ‡ the *transpose map*. We observe that ‡ is an involution.

Proposition 8.2 *With reference to Notation* 2.2 *and Definition* 8.1 *the following* (i)–(iii) *hold.*

(i) *R is invariant under $\ddagger$.*

(ii) *For $0 \leq t \leq d$ the space $\mathcal{D} \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes \mathcal{D}$ is invariant under $\ddagger$.*

(iii) *For $0 \leq t \leq d$ the space R_t is invariant under $\ddagger$.*

Proof. (i): By Definition 6.2 the space R is the sum of (17)–(19). The map $\ddagger$ exchanges (17), (18) and leaves (19) invariant. The result follows.

(ii): Clear.

(iii): By Theorem 6.7(i) the space R_t is the sum of (20)–(22). The map $\ddagger$ exchanges (20), (21) and leaves (22) invariant. The result follows. $\square$

Theorem 8.3 *With reference to Notation* 2.2 *and Definition* 8.1 *the following* (i), (ii) *hold.*

(i) *For $0 \leq t \leq d$ the image of $\mathcal{D} \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes \mathcal{D}$ under $1 - \ddagger$ is contained in R_t.*

(ii) *The image of $\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}^* \otimes \mathcal{D}$ under $1 - \ddagger$ is contained in R.*

Proof. (i): Let C denote the subspace of $\mathcal{D} \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes \mathcal{D}$ spanned by the elements (26). By Theorem 7.1 the space $\mathcal{D} \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes \mathcal{D}$ is the direct sum of R_t and C. By Proposition 8.2(iii) the image of R_t under $1 - \ddagger$ is contained in R_t. By (26) the image of C under $1 - \ddagger$ is zero. The result follows.

(ii): Combine Lemma 6.4, Theorem 6.7(ii), and (i) above. $\square$

9 A complement for R in $\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}^* \otimes \mathcal{D}$

With reference to Notation 2.2 and Definition 6.2, our goal in this section is to show that the elements $\{E_i \otimes \tau_{j-i}^*(A^*) \otimes E_j \,|\, 0 \leq i \leq j \leq d\}$ form a basis for a complement of R in $\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}^* \otimes \mathcal{D}$. We begin with a slightly technical lemma.

Lemma 9.1 *With reference to Notation* 2.2 *and Definition* 6.5, *for $0 \leq t \leq d$ and $0 \leq i < j \leq i + t \leq d$ the space*

$$R_t + \operatorname{Span}\{E_h \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes E_h \,|\, 0 \leq h < i\} \tag{34}$$

contains both

$$f_{ij}^t(\theta_i) E_i \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes E_i + f_{ij}^t(\theta_j) E_i \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes E_j, \tag{35}$$

$$f_{ij}^t(\theta_i) E_i \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes E_i + f_{ij}^t(\theta_j) E_j \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes E_i, \tag{36}$$

where $f_{ij}^t = \prod_{h=i+1,\, h \neq j}^{i+t} (\lambda - \theta_h)$.

Proof. We fix t and show by induction on $i = 0, 1, \ldots, d - t$ that each of (35), (36) is contained in (34) for $i < j \leq i + t$. Concerning (35), in the equation $f_{ij}^t(A) = \sum_{n=0}^d f_{ij}^t(\theta_n) E_n$ we tensor each term on the left by $E_i \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*)$ to get

$$E_i \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes f_{ij}^t(A) = \sum_{n=0}^{d} f_{ij}^t(\theta_n) E_i \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes E_n. \tag{37}$$

We examine the terms in (37). The left side of (37) is in R_t by (23) and since f^t_{ij} has degree $t-1$. For $0 \leq n \leq d$ consider the n-summand on the right in (37). First assume $0 \leq n < i-t$. Then the n-summand is in R_t by (25). Next assume $i-t \leq n < i$. By (36) and induction,

$$\begin{aligned} f^t_{ni}(\theta_n)E_n \otimes \tau^*_t(A^*) \otimes E_n + f^t_{ni}(\theta_i)E_i \otimes \tau^*_t(A^*) \otimes E_n \\ \in R_t + \mathrm{Span}\{E_h \otimes \tau^*_t(A^*) \otimes E_h \,|\, 0 \leq h < n\}. \end{aligned}$$

By this and since $f^t_{ni}(\theta_i)$ is nonzero,

$$E_i \otimes \tau^*_t(A^*) \otimes E_n \in R_t + \mathrm{Span}\{E_h \otimes \tau^*_t(A^*) \otimes E_h \,|\, 0 \leq h \leq n\}. \qquad (38)$$

Therefore our n-summand is in (34). Next assume $i+1 \leq n \leq i+t$, $n \neq j$. Then the n-summand is 0 since $f^t_{ij}(\theta_n) = 0$. Next assume $i+t < n \leq d$. Then the n-summand is in R_t by (25). By these comments the expression (35) is contained in (34). By this and Theorem 8.3(i) the expression (36) is contained in (34). □

Proposition 9.2 *With reference to Notation* 2.2 *and Definition* 6.5, *for* $0 \leq t \leq d$ *the vectors*

$$\{E_i \otimes \tau^*_t(A^*) \otimes E_{i+t} \,|\, 0 \leq i \leq d-t\} \qquad (39)$$

form a basis for a complement of R_t *in* $\mathcal{D} \otimes \tau^*_t(A^*) \otimes \mathcal{D}$.

Proof. Consider the quotient vector space

$$V_t = \mathcal{D} \otimes \tau^*_t(A^*) \otimes \mathcal{D}/R_t.$$

We show the vectors

$$E_i \otimes \tau^*_t(A^*) \otimes E_{i+t} + R_t \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d-t) \qquad (40)$$

form a basis for V_t. By Theorem 7.1 the vectors

$$E_i \otimes \tau^*_t(A^*) \otimes E_i + R_t \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d-t) \qquad (41)$$

form a basis for V_t. Write the elements (40) in terms of the basis (41). By Lemma 9.1 the resulting coefficient matrix is upper triangular with all diagonal entries nonzero. Therefore (40) is a basis for V_t and the result follows. □

Theorem 9.3 *With reference to Notation* 2.2 *and Definition* 6.2 *the vectors*

$$\{E_i \otimes \tau^*_{j-i}(A^*) \otimes E_j \,|\, 0 \leq i \leq j \leq d\} \qquad (42)$$

form a basis for a complement of R *in* $\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}^* \otimes \mathcal{D}$.

Proof. The set (42) is the disjoint union over $t = 0, 1, \ldots, d$ of the sets (39). The result follows in view of Lemma 6.4, Theorem 6.7(ii), and Proposition 9.2 □

10 The space $\mathcal{D} \otimes E_0^* \otimes \mathcal{D}$

With reference to Notation 2.2 and Definition 6.2, in this section we show that the elements $\{E_i \otimes E_0^* \otimes E_j \,|\, 0 \leq i \leq j \leq d\}$ form a basis for a complement of R in $\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}^* \otimes \mathcal{D}$. We will use the following lemma.

Lemma 10.1 *With reference to Notation* 2.2, *for* $0 \leq t \leq d$ *and* $0 \leq i \leq d-t$ *the element*

$$E_i \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes E_{i+t} - (\theta_0^* - \theta_1^*)(\theta_0^* - \theta_2^*) \cdots (\theta_0^* - \theta_t^*) E_i \otimes E_0^* \otimes E_{i+t}$$

is contained in

$$R + \sum_{n=t+1}^{d} \mathcal{D} \otimes \tau_n^*(A^*) \otimes \mathcal{D}. \tag{43}$$

Proof. Applying the equation on the right in (10) to Φ^*,

$$E_0^* = \sum_{n=0}^{d} \frac{\eta_{d-n}^*(\theta_0^*)\tau_n^*(A^*)}{\eta_d^*(\theta_0^*)}.$$

In this equation we tensor each term on the left by E_i and on the right by E_{i+t} to get

$$E_i E_0^* E_{i+t} = \sum_{n=0}^{d} \frac{\eta_{d-n}^*(\theta_0^*)}{\eta_d^*(\theta_0^*)} E_i \otimes \tau_n^*(A^*) \otimes E_{i+t}. \tag{44}$$

For $0 \leq n \leq d$ consider the n-summand on the right in (44). For $0 \leq n \leq t-1$ the n-summand is in R by (25). For $t+1 \leq n \leq d$ the n-summand is in (43) by construction. The result follows from these comments and since

$$\eta_d^*(\theta_0^*) = (\theta_0^* - \theta_1^*)(\theta_0^* - \theta_2^*) \cdots (\theta_0^* - \theta_t^*)\eta_{d-t}^*(\theta_0^*).$$

□

Theorem 10.2 *With reference to Notation* 2.2 *and Definition* 6.2 *the vectors*

$$\{E_i \otimes E_0^* \otimes E_j \,|\, 0 \leq i \leq j \leq d\} \tag{45}$$

form a basis for a complement of R *in* $\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}^* \otimes \mathcal{D}$.

Proof. The cardinality of the set (45) is $(d+1)(d+2)/2$, and by Corollary 7.2(iv) this is the codimension of R in $\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}^* \otimes \mathcal{D}$. Therefore, it suffices to show that R and the elements (45) together span $\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}^* \otimes \mathcal{D}$. Let S denote the subspace of $\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}^* \otimes \mathcal{D}$ spanned by R and the elements (45). To show that $S = \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}^* \otimes \mathcal{D}$ we show $\mathcal{D} \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes \mathcal{D} \subseteq S$ for $0 \leq t \leq d$. We show this by induction on $t = d, d-1, \ldots, 0$. Let t be given. By Proposition 9.2,

$$\mathcal{D} \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes \mathcal{D} = R_t + \mathrm{Span}\{E_i \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes E_{i+t} \,|\, 0 \leq i \leq d-t\}.$$

By construction $R_t \subseteq R \subseteq S$. For $0 \leq i \leq d-t$ we have $E_i \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes E_{i+t} \in S$ by Lemma 10.1 and induction on t. By these comments $\mathcal{D} \otimes \tau_t^*(A^*) \otimes \mathcal{D} \subseteq S$ and the result follows. □

11 The proof of Theorem 2.4

Using the results in earlier sections we can now easily prove Theorem 2.4.

Proof of Theorem 2.4. (i): By Definition 6.1 the image $\pi(\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}^* \otimes \mathcal{D})$ is the span of $E_0^*\mathcal{D}\mathcal{D}^*\mathcal{D}E_0^*$. Similarly the image $\pi(\mathcal{D} \otimes E_0^* \otimes \mathcal{D})$ is the span of $E_0^*\mathcal{D}E_0^*\mathcal{D}E_0^*$. We show

$$\pi(\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}^* \otimes \mathcal{D}) = \pi(\mathcal{D} \otimes E_0^* \otimes \mathcal{D}). \tag{46}$$

Let C denote the subspace of $\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}^* \otimes \mathcal{D}$ spanned by the elements (45). By Theorem 9.3 $\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}^* \otimes \mathcal{D}$ is the direct sum $C + R$. By the construction C is contained in $\mathcal{D} \otimes E_0^* \otimes \mathcal{D}$. By Lemma 6.3 the space R is contained in the kernel of π. Therefore

$$\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}^* \otimes \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D} \otimes E_0^* \otimes \mathcal{D} + \mathrm{Ker}(\pi).$$

Applying π to this equation we get (46) and the result follows.

(ii): For $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$ we show $E_0^*XE_0^*$, $E_0^*YE_0^*$ commute. By Theorem 8.3(ii),

$$X \otimes E_0^* \otimes Y - Y \otimes E_0^* \otimes X \in R.$$

In the above line we apply the map π and use Lemma 6.3 to find

$$E_0^*XE_0^*YE_0^* = E_0^*YE_0^*XE_0^*.$$

By this and since $E_0^{*2} = E_0^*$ the elements $E_0^*XE_0^*$, $E_0^*YE_0^*$ commute. □

12 Acknowledgements

The second author gratefully acknowledges many conversations with Tatsuro Ito (Kanazawa University) on the general subject of this paper. The resulting insights lead directly to the paper, and consequently we feel that Ito deserves to be a coauthor. We offered him this coauthorship but he declined.

References

[1] H. Alnajjar, B. Curtin, A family of tridiagonal pairs, Linear Algebra Appl. 390 (2004) 369–384.

[2] H. Alnajjar, B. Curtin, A family of tridiagonal pairs related to the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$, Electron. J. Linear Algebra 13 (2005) 1–9.

[3] H. Alnajjar, B. Curtin, A bilinear form for tridiagonal pairs of q-Serre type, submitted for publication.

[4] E. Bannai, T. Ito, Algebraic Combinatorics I: Association Schemems, Benjamin/Cummings, London 1984.

[5] P. Baseilhac, A family of tridiagonal pairs and related symmetric functions, J. Phys. A 39 (38) (2006) 11773–11791.

[6] G. Benkart, P. Terwilliger, Irreducible modules for the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ and its Borel subalgebra, J. Algebra 282 (2004) 172–194; `arXiv:math.QA/0311152`.

[7] G. Benkart, P. Terwilliger, The universal central extension of the three-point $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ loop algebra, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 135 (6) (2007) 1659–1668; `arXiv:math.RA/0512422`.

[8] J. Bowman, Irreducible modules for the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and its Borel subalgebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\geq 0}$, preprint; `arxiv:math.QA/0606627`.

[9] J.S. Caughman, The Terwilliger algebras of bipartite P- and Q-polynomial schemes, Discrete Math. 196 (1999) 65–95.

[10] J.S. Caughman, M.S. MacLean, P. Terwilliger, The Terwilliger algebra of an almost-bipartite P- and Q-polynomial association scheme, Discrete Math. 292 (2005) 17–44.

[11] J.S. Caughman, N. Wolff, The Terwilliger algebra of a distance-regular graph that supports a spin model, J. Algebraic Combin. 21 (2005) 289–310.

[12] B. Curtin, Modular Leonard triples, Linear Algebra Appl. 424 (2007) 510–539.

[13] B. Curtin, Spin Leonard pairs, Ramanujan J. 13 (2007) 319–332.

[14] E. Egge, A generalization of the Terwilliger algebra, J. Algebra 233 (2000) 213–252.

[15] D. Funk-Neubauer, Raising/lowering maps and modules for the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$, Comm. Algebra 35 (2007) 2140–2159; `arXiv:math.QA/0506629`.

[16] B. Hartwig, Three mutually adjacent Leonard pairs, Linear Algebra Appl. 408 (2005) 19–39; `arXiv:math.AC/0508415`.

[17] B. Hartwig, The Tetrahedron algebra and its finite dimensional irreducible modules, Linear Algebra Appl. 422 (2007) 219–235; `arXiv:math.RT/0606197`.

[18] B. Hartwig, P. Terwilliger, The tetrahedron algebra, the Onsager algebra, and the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ loop algebra, J. Algebra 308 (2007) 840–863; `arXiv:math-ph/0511004`.

[19] T. Ito, K. Tanabe, P. Terwilliger, Some algebra related to P- and Q-polynomial association schemes, Codes and Association Schemes (Piscataway NJ, 1999), American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001, pp. 167–192; `arXiv:math.CO/0406556`.

[20] T. Ito, P. Terwilliger, The shape of a tridiagonal pair, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 188 (2004) 145–160; `arXiv:math.QA/0304244`.

[21] T. Ito, P. Terwilliger, Tridiagonal pairs and the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$, Ramanujan J. 13 (2007) 39–62; `arXiv:math.QA/0310042`.

[22] T. Ito, P. Terwilliger, Two non-nilpotent linear transformations that satisfy the cubic q-Serre relations, J. Algebra Appl. 6 (2007) 477–503; `arXiv:math.QA/0508398`.

[23] T. Ito, P. Terwilliger, The q-tetrahedron algebra and its finite dimensional irreducible modules, Comm. Algebra 35 (2007) 3415–3439; `arXiv:math.QA/0602199`.

[24] T. Ito, P. Terwilliger, q-inverting pairs of linear transformations and the q-tetrahedron algebra, Linear Algebra Appl. 426 (2007) 516–532; `arXiv:math.RT/0606237`.

[25] T. Ito, P. Terwilliger, Distance-regular graphs and the q-tetrahedron algebra, European J. Combin., submitted for publication; `arxiv:math.CO/0608694`.

[26] T. Ito, P. Terwilliger, Finite-dimensional irreducible modules for the three-point $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ loop algebra, Comm. Algebra, in press; `arXiv:0707.2313`.

[27] T. Ito, P. Terwilliger, Tridiagonal pairs of Krawtchouk type, Linear Algebra Appl. 427 (2007) 218–233; `arXiv:0706.1065`.

[28] T. Ito, P. Terwilliger, The augmented tridiagonal algebra, preprint.

[29] T. Ito, P. Terwilliger, C. Weng, The quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and its equitable presentation, J. Algebra 298 (2006) 284–301; `arXiv:math.QA/0507477`.

[30] R. Koekoek, R. F. Swarttouw, The Askey-scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and its q-analogue, report 98-17, Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands, 1998; available at `http://aw.twi.tudelft.nl~koekoek/askey.html`.

[31] D. Leonard, Orthogonal polynomials, duality, and association schemes, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 13 (1982) 656–663.

[32] S. Miklavic, On bipartite Q-polynomial distance-regular graphs, Europian J. Combin. 28 (2007) 94–110.

[33] S. Miklavic, Q-polynomial distance-regular graphs with $a_1 = 0$ and $a_2 \neq 0$, submitted for publication.

[34] S. Miklavic, Leonard triples and hypercubes, submitted for publication.

[35] K. Nomura, Tridiagonal pairs and the Askey-Wilson relations, Linear Algebra Appl. 397 (2005) 99–106.

[36] K. Nomura, A refinement of the split decomposition of a tridiagonal pair, Linear Algebra Appl. 403 (2005) 1–23.

[37] K. Nomura, Tridiagonal pairs of height one, Linear Algebra Appl. 403 (2005) 118–142.

[38] K. Nomura, P. Terwilliger, Balanced Leonard pairs, Linear Algebra Appl. 420 (2007) 51–69. `arXiv:math.RA/0506219`.

[39] K. Nomura, P. Terwilliger, Some trace formulae involving the split sequences of a Leonard pair, Linear Algebra Appl. 413 (2006) 189–201; `arXiv:math.RA/0508407`.

[40] K. Nomura, P. Terwilliger, The determinant of $AA^* - A^*A$ for a Leonard pair A, A^*, Linear Algebra Appl. 416 (2006) 880–889; `arXiv:math.RA/0511641`.

[41] K. Nomura, P. Terwilliger, Matrix units associated with the split basis of a Leonard pair, Linear Algebra Appl. 418 (2006) 775–787; `arXiv:math.RA/0602416`.

[42] K. Nomura, P. Terwilliger, Linear transformations that are tridiagonal with respect to both eigenbases of a Leonard pair, Linear Algebra Appl. 420 (2007) 198–207; `arXiv:math.RA/0605316`.

[43] K. Nomura, P. Terwilliger, The switching element for a Leonard pair, Linear Algebra Appl., in press; `arXiv:math.RA/0608623`.

[44] K. Nomura, P. Terwilliger, Affine transformations of a Leonard pair, Electron. J. Linear Algebra (2007) 389–418; `arXiv:math.RA/0611783`.

[45] K. Nomura, P. Terwilliger, The split decomposition of a tridiagonal pair, Linear Algebra Appl. 424 (2007) 339–345; `arXiv:math.RA/0612460`.

[46] K. Nomura, P. Terwilliger, Transition maps between the 24 bases for a Leonard pair, submitted for publication; `arXiv:0705.3918`.

[47] K. Nomura, P. Terwilliger, Sharp tridiagonal pairs, submitted for publication.

[48] A. A. Pascasio, On the multiplicities of the primitive idempotents of a Q-polynomial distance-regular graph, European J. Combin. 23 (2002) 1073–1078.

[49] H. Rosengren, Multivariable orthogonal polynomials and coupling coefficients for discrete series representations, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 30 (1999) 233–272.

[50] H. Rosengren, An elementary approach to the $6j$-symbols (classical, quantum, rational, trigonometric, and elliptic), Ramanujan J. 13 (2007) 131–166; `arXiv:math.CA/0312310`.

[51] J. J. Rotman, Advanced modern algebra, Prentice Hall, Saddle River NJ 2002.

[52] H. Suzuki, On strongly closed subgraphs with diameter two and the Q-polynomial property, Europian J. Combin. 28 (2007) 167–185.

[53] P. Terwilliger, The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme I, J. Algebraic Combin. 1 (1992) 363–388.

[54] P. Terwilliger, The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme III, J. Algebraic Combin. 2 (1993) 177–210.

[55] P. Terwilliger, Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other, Linear Algebra Appl. 330 (2001) 149–203; `arXiv:math.RA/0406555`.

[56] P. Terwilliger, Two relations that generalize the q-Serre relations and the Dolan-Grady relations, Physics and Combinatorics 1999 (Nagoya), World Scientific Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2001, pp. 377–398; `arXiv:math.QA/0307016`.

[57] P. Terwilliger, Leonard pairs from 24 points of view, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 32 (2) (2002) 827–888; `arXiv:math.RA/0406577`.

[58] P. Terwilliger, Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; the *TD-D* and the *LB-UB* canonical form, J. Algebra 291 (2005) 1–45; `arXiv:math.RA/0304077`.

[59] P. Terwilliger, Introduction to Leonard pairs, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 153 (2) (2003) 463–475.

[60] P. Terwilliger, Introduction to Leonard pairs and Leonard systems, Sūrikaisekikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku 1109 (1999) 67–79, Algebraic Combinatorics (Kyoto, 1999).

[61] P. Terwilliger, Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; comments on the split decomposition, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 178 (2005) 437–452; `arXiv:math.RA/0306290`.

[62] P. Terwilliger, Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; comments on the parameter array, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 34 (2005) 307–332; `arXiv:math.RA/0306291`.

[63] P. Terwilliger, Leonard pairs and the q-Racah polynomials, Linear Algebra Appl. 387 (2004) 235–276; `arXiv:math.QA/0306301`.

[64] P. Terwilliger, An algebraic approach to the Askey scheme of orthogonal polynomials, in: Orthogonal Polynomials and Special Functions: computation and applications, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1883, Springer, 2006, pp. 255–330; `arXiv:math.QA/0408390`.

[65] P. Terwilliger, The equitable presentation for the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ associated with a symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, J. Algebra 298 (2006) 302–319; `arXiv:math.QA/0507478`.

[66] P. Terwilliger, R. Vidunas, Leonard pairs and the Askey-Wilson relations, J. Algebra Appl. 3 (2004) 411–426; `arXiv:math.QA/0305356`.

[67] M. Vidar, Tridiagonal pairs of shape $(1, 2, 1)$, preprint.

[68] R. Vidunas, Normalized Leonard pairs and Askey-Wilson relations, Technical Report MHF 2005-16, Kyushu University 2005; `arXiv:math.RA/0505041`.

[69] R. Vidunas, Askey-Wilson relations and Leonard pairs, Technical Report MHF 2005-17, Kyushu University 2005; `arXiv:math.QA/0511509`.

[70] A.S. Zhedanov, "Hidden symmetry" of Askey-Wilson polynomials, Teoret. Mat Fiz. 89 (1991) 190–204.

Kazumasa Nomura
College of Liberal Arts and Sciences
Tokyo Medical and Dental University
Kohnodai, Ichikawa, 272-0827 Japan
email: knomura@pop11.odn.ne.jp

Paul Terwilliger
Department of Mathematics
University of Wisconsin
480 Lincoln Drive
Madison, Wisconsin, 53706 USA
email: terwilli@math.wisc.edu

Keywords. Leonard pair, tridiagonal pair, q-Racah polynomial, orthogonal polynomial.
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 05E35, 05E30, 33C45, 33D45.